



E 4-1-03 hader

TENT APPLICATI

re the Patent Application

Masahiro YAMADA et al.

Serial No. 09/842,021

Filed: April 26, 2001

For:

OPTICAL DEVICE, OPTICAL

SYSTEM, METHOD OF

PRODUCTION OF SAME, AND MOLD FOR PRODUCTION OF

SAME

Examiner: J.M. Schwartz

Group Art: 2873

RECEIVED
HAR 28 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTLR 2800

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT IN PAPER NO. 07

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in full and timely response to the Election of Species Requirement mailed on February 25, 2003, (Paper No. 07) for which 30 days were allotted for reply.

on the Applicant, through the Applicant had elected the claims of Group I, having claims 1 to 18, 52 to 70, 99 to 102; and 112 to 114 as grouped by the Examiner. But, referring to page 5 of the original Requirement for an Election of Invention January 8, 2003, the examiner had contended that Group I, if elected, contained Group 1a (Claims 1 to 18); Group Ib (claims 52 to 70); and Group 1c (claims 112 to 114).





The Applicant, through its representatives and its attorneys, hereby respectfully elects with traverse the species of Group 1a, having claims 1 to 18. A new look at whether claim 1 is or can be made generic to claims 52 and 112 is thus in order. The Examiner had indicated that currently no claim is generic; thus, the Applicant reserves the right to attempt to amend claims of the elected group and species to be generic.

The error in overlooking the requirement for an election of species in addition to the election of invention in the Action of January 8, 2003 is regretted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 27, 2003

Ronald P/Kananen

Reg. No./24,104

Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC

Suite 501 1233 20th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: 202/955-3750 Facsimile: 202/955-3751

Customer No. 23353